

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,461	06/28/2006	Takashi Kubota	Q93093	4442
23373 7590 10/29/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, KHANH TUAN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	•	1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/567,461	KUBOTA, TAKASHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Khanh T. Nguyen	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ıne 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the the the discount of the discount of the discount of the drawing (s) is object to be discount of the drawing (s) is object of the discount of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	•				
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3 are currently pending in the instant application.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 02/07/2006 and 04/19/2006 has been regarded by Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to <u>a single</u> <u>paragraph</u> on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Teichmann (U.S Pat. 4,711,814 hereinafter, "Teichmann") or Kawasumi (U.S Pat. 4,450,188 hereinafter, "Kawasumi") or Ostolski (U.S Pat. 5,882,802 hereinafter, "Ostolski").

Teichmann teaches (Please see Fig. 1) an electroconductive particle that has a gold coating 11 formed over a solid nickel particle by electroless plating method (Col. 2, lines 25-35 and Col. 3, lines 14-21).

Similarly, Kawasumi teaches a nickel core or copper core particle coated by gold, silver, platinum or palladium (Col. 2, lines 30-51). Kawasumi also teaches coating methods such as electroplating, vacuum deposition and chemical plating (Col. 1, lines 45-64).

Similarly, Ostolski teaches electroplating gold onto nickel powder (Col. 20, Example 4).

The amount of nickel dissolved in a dissolution test of the electroconductive particle with nitric acid is an inherent property since Teichmann, Kawasumi and Ostolski teach the same electroconductive particle (i.e. gold-coated nickel particle) produce by the same method (e.g. electroless plating method and electroplating method etc.).

The reference specifically or inherently meets each of the claimed limitations.

Art Unit: 1796

The reference is anticipatory.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Khanna (U.S. Pat. 6,838,022 hereinafter, "Khanna").

Khanna teaches an anisotropic conductive material comprising of (ii) gold-coated nickel particles suspended in a binder (Col. 1, lines 54-59). The reference specifically or inherently meets each of the claimed limitations.

The reference is anticipatory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teichmann (U.S Pat. 4,711,814 hereinafter, "Teichmann") in view of Toben (U.S Pat. 6,383,269 hereinafter, "Toben").

Teichmann teaches an electroconductive particle that has a gold coating 11 formed over a solid nickel particle by electroless plating method (Col. 2, lines 25-35 and Col. 3, lines 14-21 and Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 1796

Teichmann failed to teach a reducing agent causing oxidation reaction on the surface of a nickel undercoating but not causing oxidation reaction on the surface of gold as deposition.

However, Toben teaches a method of plating gold layer on a nickel substrate (i.e. undercoating) (Abstract). Toben also teaches the nickel undercoating is preferably oxidized by a reducing agent (e.g. hydroxylamine) to minimize the tendency for bipolar attack of the nickel layer (Col. 3, lines 5064). Toben further teaches the reducing agent is used in the processing steps between nickel and gold plating (Col. 3, lines 14-21). Thus, only the nickel undercoating would be oxidized by the reducing agent.

Teichmann and Toben references are combined because both references teach a method of gold plating on a nickel undercoat substrate (core particle). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skill artisan to modified the gold plating method of .

Teichmann by oxidation the surface of nickel before deposition of gold particle to said nickel surface in order to minimized bipolar attack.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00 EST PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/567,461 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

m

KTN 10/05/2007

> LORNA M. DOUYON PRIMARY EXAMINER

down M. Sough